

DAVE'S NOTES--DID HEALTHCARE.GOV FAIL DUE TO LACK OF TRADITIONAL, AGILE, LEAN, OR CONTINUOUS INTEGRATION?

Synopsis

- **HealthCare.Gov was the Perfect Storm of an Overscoped Acquisition, Immense System Complexity, Little IT Experience, Outdated Methodology, Arcane Contractors, and Late Testing** (Saved by a Small Tiger Team)

Most Frequently Cited Problem

- **Use of late, traditional big-bang integration testing** (vs. fully-automated Continuous Integration early and often).
(**Continuous Integration**--Complete system test every 10 minutes from start to end as requirements coded one-at-a-time ...)

Most Obvious Problem

- **Overblown 55-contractor acquisition team.**

Miscellaneous Facts

- CGI is CMMI Level 5 (Canadian owned contractor).
- CGI wins right to bid on IDIQ task orders (circa 2007).
- CGI wins delivery task order (September 30, 2011).
- CMS claimed site failed for lack of funding.
- HHS took years to issue final specifications (and was unusually slow).
- CGI started actual development late (circa Spring 2013, six months before delivery).
- HHS Deputy CIO said 30% to 40% of the system had yet to be built in November 2013 (i.e., 35% of requirements unsatisfied).
- CGI claimed CMS was the lead acquisition organization (and was responsible for its success or failure).
- CGI claimed CMS acted as the PMO and integrator (and problems stemmed from the way CMS managed the acquisition).
- HHS claimed CGI was the lead integrator (and neither HHS nor CMS were in-charge of integration at any time).
- White House internal report claimed CMS needed more experience (managing large and complex IT acquisitions).
- New York Times reported CMS Deputy CIO had no IT acquisition background (i.e., training, experience, or education).
- Business Insider claimed CGI and its subsidiary AMS had a long and storied history (of very poor acquisition performance).
- Some claim CGI's front-end had a high degree of transparency (while its critical backend was a proprietary secret).
- CGI claimed it was only responsible for the front-end GUI (and CMS was responsible for the backend, which HHS denied).
- Contractors claimed subsystem components were tested in isolation (and CMS failed to institute early integration testing).
- New York Times reported HealthCare.Gov contained 500 million lines of software source code (probably not).
- **Sanity Check** (500 million lines of code couldn't be produced in 6 months using a waterfall or agile process).
- New York Times claimed CMS changed the system requirements seven times (in the last 10 months of the project).
- CGI claimed big-bang integration testing began two weeks before delivery (i.e., integration saved for the last minute).
- **Sanity Check** (big-bang integration testing is a common cause of project and contract failure in traditional and agile projects).
- CMS involved a total 55 contractors during final integration and testing (including CGI Federal).
- System testing continued throughout month of October (after HealthCare.Gov went public).
- President Obama fired CGI Federal on January 10, 2014 (and no one in HHS or CMS lost their job or resigned).
- **Sanity Check** (HealthCare.Gov was a small acquisition gone awry vs. agencies with dozens of larger failed acquisitions).

Traditional vs. Agile Debate

- Many journalists and Web articles blamed traditional methods (i.e., a linear waterfall and CMMI-based methodology).
- Agile pundits claim Agile and Scrum could have saved HealthCare.Gov (had they been used from the start).
- Government Computer News claimed CGI used an Agile/Scrum methodology (after examining its project documentation).
- A Lean pundit claimed Kanban was used to save HealthCare.Gov (from a bad Agile or Scrum implementation).
- **Sanity Check** (Traditional and agile projects fail when testing is saved until the end and Continuous Integration is not used).

How HealthCare.Gov was Saved

- President Obama assembled a small tiger team of half a dozen Silicon Valley experts to fix HealthCare.gov (on Oct. 24, 2013).
- The tiger team fixed the Healthcare.Gov website in only one month (which included Google, Red Hat, and Oracle experts).
- The tiger team used Agile-like processes (i.e., small team, collocation, daily standups, prioritized backlog, daily testing, etc.).
- The tiger team was empowered to make all decisions (i.e., only developers allowed to speak in daily standup meetings).
- The tiger team reprioritized the product backlog on a daily basis (after utilizing a three-question daily standup meeting).
- **Sanity Check** (the small size was the key to the \$500,000 tiger team's success, doing what 55 firms didn't do for \$700 million).

Other Media Reports

- **Washington Post** (Full Testing Of HealthCare.gov Began Too Late, Contractors Say).
- **New York Times** (Contractors Describe Limited Testing of Insurance Web Site).
- **Los Angeles Times** (Health Website Contractors Acknowledge Late Changes, Limited Tests).
- **Wall Street Journal** (Botched Launch Of Health Site Blamed On Poor Coordination).
- **Associated Press** (Obama Admin. Left Little Time For Testing Health Care Site And Made Late Changes).
- **Reuters** (Contractors Describe Scant Pre-Launch Testing Of U.S. HealthCare Site).
- **McClatchy** (Contractors Say Late Changes, Lack Of Testing Doomed Health Care Website Launch).
- **Fox News** (Contractors Point Fingers Over ObamaCare Botch, Blame Gov't For Poor Testing).

Various Cost Estimates of HealthCare.Gov

- CGI claimed they were paid \$70 million (*initially*).
- GAO claimed up to \$394 million (*for all contracts*).
- Some estimated \$350 million (*for the website*).
- A modest estimate was \$125 to \$150 million (*for the website*).
- CGI Federal had been paid \$112 million (*for the website*).
- The U.S. government obligated \$196 million (*to CGI in total*).
- CGI's payout could have been as much as \$154 million (*in total expenses*).
- Congress said CGI contract was worth \$292 million (*in total value*).
- Kathleen Sebelius said \$118 million had been spent (*on the website*).
- A total of \$174 million may have been spent (*including other contracts*).
- Kathleen Sabelius later claimed HHS spent \$319 million (*on the website*).
- Kathleen Sabelius later said a total of \$677 million had been obligated (*to all contracts?*).

All HealthCare.Gov Cost Estimates (sorted from lowest to highest)

- **\$70 million** (CGI).
- **\$112 million** (CGI).
- **\$125 million** (Unknown).
- **\$150 million** (Unknown).
- **\$174 million** (Unknown).
- **\$196 million** (CGI).
- **\$292 million** (Congress).
- **\$319 million** (Sabelius).
- **\$350 million** (Unknown).
- **\$394 million** (GAO).
- **\$677 million** (Sabelius).

Average HealthCare.Gov Cost Estimate

- **\$260 million.**

Miscellaneous Notes (Is HHS playing "Where's the Baby?")

- **HHS may be hiding total costs** (behind CGI).
- **For example, CGI may get \$154 million** (in the end).
- **HHS may have spent up to \$523 million** (before CGI payout).

Data Sources

- Code Red -- Obama's Trauma Team -- How an unlikely group of high-tech wizards revived Obama's troubled HealthCare.gov website
<http://time.com/#10228/obamas-trauma-team>
- How much did HealthCare.gov cost?
<http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/fact-checker/wp/2013/10/24/how-much-did-healthcare-gov-cost>
- Meet CGI Federal, the company behind the botched launch of HealthCare.gov
<http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/wonkblog/wp/2013/10/16/meet-cgi-federal-the-company-behind-the-botched-launch-of-healthcare-gov>
- CGI Contract
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/176565745/CGI-Contract>
- The firm behind HealthCare.gov had top-notch credentials -- and it didn't help
http://www.computerworld.com/s/article/9244923/The_firm_behind_Healthcare.gov_had_top_notch_credentials_and_it_didn_t_help
- Don't Go Chasing Waterfalls: A More Agile HealthCare.gov
<http://www.newyorker.com/online/blogs/elements/2013/10/healthcaregov-should-have-been-more-agile.html>
- HealthCare.gov: What went wrong?
<http://gcn.com/Articles/2013/10/22/healthcaregov-woes.aspx>
- How federal cronies built -- and botched -- HealthCare.gov
<http://gcn.com/Articles/2013/10/22/healthcaregov-woes.aspx>
- Lead HealthCare.gov IT Contractor Gets the Boot: Why Contractor Oversight and Proper Planning Are Key to Effective Government
<http://gcn.com/Articles/2013/10/22/healthcaregov-woes.aspx>
- New Report Reveals More Of What Went Wrong With The Disastrous Obamacare Website Rollout
<http://www.businessinsider.com/heres-what-went-wrong-with-the-federal-contract-for-healthcaregov-2013-12>
- Debugging the HealthCare.gov Hearings
http://www.slate.com/articles/technology/bitwise/2013/10/healthcare_gov_problems_house_committee_hearing_is_a_spectacle_of_tech_illiteracy.html
- Contractors blame government for Obamacare website woes
<http://www.cnn.com/2013/10/24/politics/congress-obamacare-website>
- Media got it wrong: HealthCare.gov failed despite agile practices
<http://gcn.com/blogs/reality-check/2013/11/healthcare-agile.aspx>
- Capitol Hill Testimony: Testing Started Too Late, Was Limited
<http://www.kaiserhealthnews.org/Daily-Reports/2013/October/25/website-contractors-testify-on-Cap-Hill.aspx>
- From the Start, Signs of Trouble at Health Portal
<http://www.nytimes.com/2013/10/13/us/politics/from-the-start-signs-of-trouble-at-health-portal.html>
- Lessons Learned from the HealCare.gov Rollout
<http://www.npengage.com/nonprofit-technology/lessons-learned-from-the-healthcare-gov-rollout>
- CMS Has Implemented Processes to Oversee Plan Finder Pricing Accuracy and Improve Website Usability
<http://www.gao.gov/assets/670/660081.pdf>

Email, dave1@davidfrico.com • Business Card, <http://davidfrico.com/pbcard.jpg> • Twitter, @dr_david_f_rico
Agile Book, <http://davidfrico.com/agile-book.htm> • Website, <http://davidfrico.com> • LinkedIn, <http://www.linkedin.com/in/davidfrico>